

**MIKE WINSOR**  
Office of the Commissioner of  
Securities & Insurance  
840 Helena Avenue  
Helena, MT 59601  
(406) 444-2040

Attorney for the Department of Securities

**BEFORE THE COMMISSIONER OF SECURITIES AND INSURANCE  
OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR  
STATE OF MONTANA**

---

	)	
	)	
IN THE MATTER OF	)	CASE NO.: SEC-2010-48
SECURITIES AMERICA, INC.;	)	
JAMES D. NAGENGAST; STEVEN F.	)	<b>NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY</b>
MCWHORTER; WALTER THOMAS	)	<b>DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND</b>
CROSS; KEVIN JOSEPH MILLER;	)	<b>OPPORTUNITY FOR</b>
DEBRA A. HANSEN; LAMAR	)	<b>HEARING</b>
STUART JONES, JR.; and PAMELA	)	
JANINE WERTHEIM, individually	)	
and as officers of Securities	)	
America Inc.;	)	
	)	
and,	)	
	)	
GREG SAUTTER, BARBARA	)	
SLOBOJAN, and ERNEST	)	
HATHAWAY, individually and as	)	
salespersons for Securities America, Inc.,	)	
	)	
	)	
Respondents.	)	

---

Staff of the Securities Department (“Department”) of the Office of the Commissioner of Securities and Insurance, State Auditor (“CSI”), by and through legal counsel, Mike Winsor, and pursuant to the authority of the Securities Act of Montana, Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-101, *et seq.*, is proposing the Commissioner take specific action against Securities America, Inc. (“Securities America”), James D. Nagengast,

Steven F. McWhorter, Walter Thomas Cross, Kevin Joseph Miller, Debra A. Hansen, Lamar Stuart Jones, Jr., Pamela Janine Wertheim, Greg Sautter (“Sautter”), Barbara Slobojan (“Slobojan”), and Ernest Hathaway (“Hathaway”)(collectively referred to as “Respondents”) for violating the Securities Act of Montana (the “Act”). The Commissioner has authority to take such action under the provisions of Mont. Code Ann. §§ 30-10-102, 30-10-107, 30-10-110, 30-10-201, 30-10-301, 30-10-305, 30-10-307, 30-10-309, and 30-10-321. Specifically, the Department is proposing that the Commissioner impose appropriate fines, appropriate restitution with interest, and permanently prohibit the Respondents from violating the Act. Service of process was effectuated pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-107(8).

#### **REASONS FOR ACTION**

There is probable cause to believe that the following facts, if true, justify and support such specific action.

#### **ALLEGATIONS OF FACT**

1. Securities America is a registered broker-dealer and a subsidiary of Ameriprise Financial, Inc. Securities America is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 7100 West Center Road, Suite 500, Omaha, NE 68106.

2. Securities America was the placement agent for the sale of Medical Capital Holdings, Inc. (“MCHI”) promissory notes to Montana investors from 2006 through 2008 and was responsible for the sale of 37 percent of the total MCHI notes nationwide since 2003, amounting to \$697 million.

3. At all times material hereto, James D. Nagengast was the president, chief financial officer, and chief operating officer of Securities America; Steven F. McWhorter was its chairman and CEO; Walter Thomas Cross was its senior vice president, and director of product distribution; Kevin Joseph Miller was its vice president, chief compliance officer, and deputy counsel; Debra A. Hansen was its first vice president of marketing; Lamar Stuart Jones, Jr. was its vice president of risk management; and Pamela Janine Wertheim was its vice president and chief marketing officer.

4. Securities America's salespeople sold MCHI promissory notes utilizing Private Placement Memorandums ("PPMs") which characterized the investments as secured notes, indicating to Montana investors that the investments were safe.

5. The Securities America salespeople who sold MCHI promissory notes to Montana investors were the following respondents: Sautter, CRD #440761; Slobojan, CRD #2701108; and Hathaway, CRD #2506927.

6. In Montana, four individuals, one defined benefit plan, and one ranch limited liability partnership bought a total of 12 MCHI promissory notes called Medical Provider Financial Series Three, Four, and Five. The total amount that Montana investors invested in MCHI defaulted notes was \$808,000.

7. The MCHI promissory notes were to be offered in a series of private placements under the Rule 506 exemption of Regulation D of the 1933 Securities Act, purportedly, to sophisticated and accredited investors and not to the general public who may have been unable to bear the risk of investing in high-risk, unregistered, securities.

8. MCHI's enterprise consisted of, *inter alia*, causing promissory notes to be issued by various of its special purpose corporation subsidiaries ("SPCs") to raise capital

for the purchase of medical accounts receivables which were then to be held in third party trust accounts for each SPC which issued the notes. Profits were to be generated by collection of medical accounts receivables and other ventures.

9. MCHI has been placed in receivership as a result of a federal action brought by the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). All the SPCs are now in default to investors for failing to make interest and principal payments on nearly \$1 billion worth of notes. After reviewing internal records and interviewing company employees, the Receiver reported to the federal district court that only \$80 million of the approximately \$625 million of the SPCs’ medical accounts receivable is verifiable, and the remaining \$542 million “no longer exist[s].”

10. Respondents represented to Montana investors that the notes were fully collateralized in excess of the notes value and secured by medical provider assets, including medical equipment. Montana investors were promised annual interest payments in the amount of 8.25 percent or greater depending on the series and the class of the notes. Also, Montana investors were promised a return of principal when the note reached maturity, in Montana, between one to five years, or an option to rollover the note for another period of one to five years.

11. PPMs represented that investor funds would be segregated into trust accounts and used to pay for accounts receivables from medical providers. The PPM assured Montana investors their funds would not be used to pay administrative fees to MCH and its affiliates.

12. In fact, reports issued by the court-appointed receiver in the SEC action and correspondence from the trustees to investors revealed that MCHI and its principals

used the trustee-controlled accounts improperly by requesting and obtaining funds to pay themselves “administrative fees” of close to \$325 million. These funds were used to purchase lavish goods, including a multimillion dollar, 118-foot yacht. MCHI and its affiliates also invested in non-medical projects, including investment in an internet pornography promotion business, mobile phone and movie projects, and commingled investor funds between the various SPCs.

13. Montana investors were promised that they had been informed, by Securities America, of all pertinent facts relating to their investments, that their investments were fully secured, and their investments were suitable for them.

14. In fact, Securities America withheld material information regarding heightened risks associated with the MCHI promissory notes from investment advisers, salespersons, and investors, including the facts that the high-risk investments were not fully secured and the investments were not suitable for the unsophisticated Montana investors to whom the notes were sold.

15. Securities America utilized an internal Due Diligence Committee to approve of new products and employed an outside due diligence analyst to review each note offering from 2003 until 2008. During that time period, the due diligence analyst issued reports each year warning Securities America about a number of material risks concerning MCHI investments and strongly recommended that investors be provided with a disclosure of those risks. Securities America concealed these risks from investment advisers, salespeople, and investors.

16. Some of the material risks reported to Securities America by the due diligence analyst that Securities America failed to disclose to investors included, but were not limited to:

- (a) a failure of MCHI to maintain audited financials;
- (b) MCHI's notes did not require a sinking fund for payment of the notes;
- (c) MCHI did not require the maintenance of any particular financial ratios to better ensure repayment of the notes;
- (d) inadequate PPM disclosure regarding mortgage financing;
- (e) conflicts of interest as dual lenders;
- (f) commercial real estate risks;
- (g) no independent directors for MCHI;
- (h) a failure of MCHI's principals to personally certify monthly net collateral coverage directly to noteholders; and
- (i) MCHI's SPCs had the ability to purchase receivables older than 180 days.

17. Securities America sold MCHI notes even when proper due diligence would have told, or did tell, Securities America that the PPMs for the notes were misleading. Securities America's officers, James D. Nagengast, Steven F. McWhorter, Walter Thomas Cross, Kevin Joseph Miller, Debra A. Hansen, Lamar Stuart Jones, Jr., and Pamela Janine Wertheim received reports from the outside due diligence analyst on a regular basis with information that MCHI's PPM contained material omissions and misrepresentations. Instead of halting the sale of MCHI investments or requiring

amendments to the PPM, the officers allowed the continuing sales of MCHI investments to unsophisticated investors.

18. Additionally, the SEC and Receiver found that MCHI and its affiliates operated without financial or accounting controls, failed to prepare financial statements in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”), and failed to perform annual appraisals of assets.

19. Further, the MCHI receiver conducted a forensic accounting of the sources and uses of MCHI’s funds and in the receiver’s January 11, 2010, report to the court, the receiver issued the following preliminary conclusions:

- (a) investors are owed principal of \$1.079 billion;
- (b) MCHI’s lending activities were unprofitable and resulted in losses in excess of \$316 million;
- (c) none of MCHI’s SPCs generated enough profit to pay its investors principal and interest;
- (d) MCHI requested from the SPC account trustees and was paid more than \$323 million in administrative fees;
- (e) MCHI transferred loans and other assets valued at just under \$1 billion between the eight MPCs, which facilitated the payment of earlier investors’ principal from new investors’ funds.

20. In addition to conducting a reasonable investigation concerning the issuer and its securities, broker-dealer salespersons Sautter, Slobojan and Hathaway were required to have reasonable grounds to believe that MCHI investments were suitable for the particular customers to whom they offered the investments and to ensure their

customers fully understood the risks involved in the investment and to ensure the investments were appropriate based on a thorough suitability analysis based on the age, financial objectives, liquidity needs, level of sophistication of the investor and other relevant information particular to each investor.

21. When considering Private Placement investments, securities salespeople and investors must consider various investment risks including, by way of example, the following:

- (a) the potential of speculative practices that may increase the risk of investment loss;
- (b) the potential for illiquidity;
- (c) the fact that those offering Private Placement investments are not required to provide periodic pricing or valuation information to investors;
- (d) the investments may involve complex tax structures and delays in distributing important tax information;
- (e) the investments are not subject to the same regulatory requirements as stocks and mutual funds, often charge high fees; and in many cases,
- (f) the underlying investments are not transparent and are known only to the investment manager and the underwriter or placement agent.

22. As indicated by the facts set forth below, Sautter failed to conduct an adequate suitability analysis for several investors to whom he offered and sold MCHI's notes.

(a) Sautter failed to conduct an adequate suitability analysis with respect to joint investors J.C. and S.C. because the existence of the following facts made the high-risk MCHI notes unsuitable for them:

(i) their low annual joint income of \$72,000, which, according to Regulation D should have exceeded \$300,000 for each of the immediately preceding two years prior to the investment;

(ii) their low liquid net worth of \$400,000, 57 percent of which was invested in the MCHI notes;

(iii) their limited investment experience;

(iv) their investment objectives were growth and income with moderate risk;

(v) they were both 63 and nearing retirement age;

(vi) poor diversification, *to wit*: they invested \$225,000 in five different MCHI notes; and

(vii) their account with Sautter was opened on July 26, 2006, and their first investment was on July 26, 2006, indicating Sautter had limited investment experience with the clients.

(b) Sautter failed to conduct an adequate suitability analysis with respect to joint investors W.R. and E.R. because the existence of the following facts made the high-risk MCHI notes unsuitable investments for them:

(i) their low annual joint income of \$46,600, which, according to Regulation D should have exceeded \$300,000 for each of the immediately preceding two years prior to the investment;

(ii) their low liquid net worth of \$528,000, 10 percent of which, \$75,000, was wrapped up in the MCHI notes;

(iii) their limited investment experience;

(iv) their investment objectives were current income with moderate risk;

(v) W.R. was 70 years old and E.R. was 65 years old at the time of the investment; and

(vi) their account with Sautter was opened on June 16, 2006, and their first investment was on June 29, 2006, indicating Sautter had limited investment experience with the clients.

(c) Sautter failed to conduct an adequate suitability analysis with respect to EDBPT, defined benefit plan (pursuant to ERISA) because the existence of the following facts made the high-risk MCHI notes an unsuitable investment for it:

(i) the plan's investment objective was growth but the MCHI notes were only an income opportunity;

(ii) the plan indicated moderate risk exposure in its account application;

(iii) \$100,000, 10 percent of the plan's liquid net worth, was invested in MCHI notes; and

(iv) the plan's account with Sautter was opened on March 31, 2006, and its first investment was made on April 13, 2007, indicating Sautter had limited investment experience working with the plan.

23. Hathaway failed to conduct an adequate suitability analysis with respect to joint investors D.M. and N.M. because the existence of the following facts made the high-risk MCHI notes unsuitable for them:

(a) their low annual joint income of \$110,000, which, according to Regulation D, should have exceeded \$300,000 for each of the immediately preceding two years prior to the investment;

(b) their low liquid net worth of \$90,000, 66 percent of which (\$58,000) was invested in the MCHI notes;

(c) their limited investment experience;

(d) their investment objectives were current income with moderate risk

(e) they were both 62 at the time of the investment and nearing retirement age; and

(f) their account with Hathaway was opened on December 30, 2005, and their first investment was on April 11, 2007, indicating Hathaway had limited investment experience with the clients.

24. Slobojan failed to conduct an adequate suitability analysis with respect to L.S.K. because the existence of the following facts made the high-risk MCHI notes unsuitable for it:

(a) the funds invested in MCHI were proceeds from the sale of a ranch;

(b) L.S.K.'s low annual income;

(c) L.S.K.'s limited investment experience;

(d) L.S.K.'s investment objectives were short time horizon with moderate risk;

(e) L.S.K. invested \$150,000 in MCHI notes which was 12 percent of its liquid net worth; and

(f) Slobojan had minimal investment experience with the clients since its account was opened on April 9, 2007, and its first investment was made on April 27, 2007.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1. The Montana State Auditor is the Commissioner of Securities ("Commissioner") pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. §§ 2-15-1903 and 30-10-107.

2. The Commissioner has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. §§ 30-10-102, 30-10-107, 30-10-110, 30-10-201, 30-10-301, 30-10-305, 30-10-307, 30-10-309, and 30-10-321.

3. The administration of the Securities Act of Montana, Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-101, *et seq.*, is under the supervision and control of the Commissioner pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-107.

4. The Commissioner shall administer the Securities Department to protect investors pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-102.

5. Pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-301(1)(b), it is unlawful for any person, in connection with the offer, sale, or purchase of any security, directly or indirectly, in, into, or from this state, to make any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading.

6. Pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-103(16) a “[p]erson”, for the purpose of parts 1 through 3 of this chapter, means an individual, a corporation, a partnership, an association, a joint-stock company, a trust in which the interests of the beneficiaries are evidenced by a security, an unincorporated organization, a government, or a political subdivision of a government.”

7. Securities America violated Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-301(1)(b) by concealing the following material facts from investment advisers, salespeople, and investors relating to the heightened risk of the MCHI investments:

(a) the fact that the PPMs represented that investor funds would be segregated into trust accounts and used to pay for accounts receivables from medical providers, when Securities America knew that often times investor funds were instead used to pay MCHI and affiliates’ administrative fees and were not segregated into trust accounts;

(b) the fact that MCHI and its principals used investor funds to purchase lavish goods, including a multimillion dollar, 118-foot yacht, to invest in non-medical projects, including an internet pornography promotion business, mobile phone and movie projects;

(c) the fact that Securities America withheld material information regarding the heightened risks associated with the MCHI promissory notes, including the fact that they were not fully secured and the investments were not suitable for most Montana investors;

(d) the fact that Securities America’s Due Diligence Committees annually issued warnings to Securities America about certain additional material

risks involving MCHI investments and Securities America chose to not make these material disclosure available to investors; and

(e) the fact that Securities America was aware that MCHI and its affiliates operated without financial or accounting controls, failed to prepare financial statements in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”), and failed to annually appraise assets.

8. Pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-301(1)(c), it is unlawful for any person, in connection with the offer, sale, or purchase of a security, directly or indirectly, in, into, or from this state, to engage in any act, practice, or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

9. Securities America violated Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-301(1)(c) by engaging in the practice of concealing material facts identified above in Paragraph 7 (a – e) from salespeople, investment advisers and investors relating to the heightened risk of the MCHI investments.

10. Pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-201(13)(k), “[t]he Commissioner may by order deny, suspend, or revoke the registration of any broker-dealer, salesperson, investment adviser, or investment adviser representative if the Commissioner finds that the order is in the public interest and that the applicant or registrant or, in the case of a broker-dealer or investment adviser, any partner, officer, director, person occupying a similar status or performing similar functions, or person directly or indirectly controlling the broker-dealer or investment adviser has failed to reasonably supervise the person’s salespersons or employees or investment adviser representatives or employees to ensure

their compliance with [the Securities Act of Montana, Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-101, *et seq.*.]”

11. The Commissioner may suspend or revoke Securities America’s registration because it is in the public interest to protect Montana investors from broker-dealers who substantially fail to reasonably supervise their salespersons by withholding material information relating to heightened investment risks, thereby preventing those salespersons from informing investors.

12. Pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-201(13)(g), “[t]he Commissioner may by order deny, suspend, or revoke the registration of any broker-dealer, salesperson, investment adviser, or investment adviser representative if the Commissioner finds that the order is in the public interest and that the applicant or registrant or, in the case of a broker-dealer or investment adviser, any partner, officer, director, person occupying a similar status or performing similar functions, or person directly or indirectly controlling the broker-dealer or investment adviser has engaged in dishonest or unethical practices in the securities business.”

13. The Commissioner may suspend or revoke Securities America’s registration because it is in the public interest to protect investors from broker-dealers who engage in dishonest or unethical practices, such as here, where Securities America concealed material facts relating to known, heightened investment risks from Montana investors.

14. According to Mont. Admin. R. 6-10-401(1)(c), “[f]or purposes 30-10-201 . . . MCA, fraudulent and unethical practices means, but is not limited to recommending to a customer [by a salesperson] the purchase, sale, or exchange of a security without

grounds to believe that the transaction or recommendation is suitable for the customer based upon reasonable inquiry concerning the customer's information known by the broker-dealer."

15. The Commissioner may suspend or revoke the registrations of Respondents Greg Sautter and Ernest Hathaway because the same recommended to Montana investors, J.C., S.C., W.R., E.R., D.M., N.M., and E.D.B.P.T., the purchase of the MCHI notes without reasonable grounds to believe that the recommendations were suitable for Montana investors based upon reasonable inquiry concerning Montana investors' information, coupled with the heightened risk of investing in the MCHI notes known by Securities America.

16. Pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-321, "[f]or purposes of any action brought by the commissioner under 30-10-304, 30-10-305, or 30-10-306, for violations of 30-10-301 through 30-10-303, any person that knowingly provides substantial assistance to another person in violation of a provision of this part or of any rule or regulation issued under this part must be considered to be in violation of that provision to the same extent as the person to whom the assistance is provided."

17. Because Securities America sold MCHI notes even when proper due diligence would have told, or did tell, Securities America that the PPMs for the notes were misleading and because Securities America's officers, James D. Nagengast, Steven F. McWhorter, Walter Thomas Cross, Kevin Joseph Miller, Debra A. Hansen, Lamar Stuart Jones, Jr., and Pamela Janine Wertheim received reports from the outside due diligence analyst on a regular basis with information that MCHI's PPM contained material omissions and misrepresentations and instead of halting the sale of MCHI

investments or requiring amendments to the PPM, the officers allowed the continuing sales of MCHI investments to unsophisticated investors. and therefore, knowingly provided substantial assistance to Sautter, Slobojan and Hathaway in violation of Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-321.

18. Pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-305(3), the Commissioner may impose a fine not to exceed \$5,000 upon the Respondents, and each of them, for each of the foregoing violations of the Securities Act of Montana, Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-101, *et seq.*

### **RELIEF SOUGHT**

1. Pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-309(1), order all Respondents to pay restitution to the victims in this case, including 10 percent interest from the date of the wrong-doing.

2. Pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-305(3), order all Respondents to pay fines not to exceed \$5,000 for each violation of the Securities Act of Montana, Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-101, *et seq.* alleged hereinabove.

3. Pursuant to §§ 30-10-201(13)(g) and 30-10-201(13)(k), suspend or revoke the registrations of Securities America, Greg Sautter and Ernest Hathaway.

4. Pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 30-10-305, order all Respondents to cease and desist from continuing to violate the Act.

5. Any other such relief allowed by law or required by justice.

### **PUBLIC INTEREST**

For any and all of the reasons set forth above, it is in the public interest and will protect Montana investors to:

1. order all Respondents to pay administrative fines in an amount and upon such terms and conditions as supported by the evidence and determined at a hearing of this matter;
2. order all Respondents to pay restitution to the Montana participants in an amount and upon such terms and conditions, including the statutory 10 percent per annum interest, on the losses the participants incurred, as supported by the evidence and determined at a hearing of this matter;
3. order the suspension or revocation of the registrations of Securities America, Greg Sautter and Ernest Hathaway.
4. order all Respondents to cease and desist from continuing to violate the Act; and
5. take such other actions which may be in the public interest and just and proper for the protection of Montana investors.

#### **STATEMENT OF RIGHTS**

You, SECURITIES AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation doing business in Montana; JAMES D. NAGENGAST, individually and as president and director of Securities America, Inc.; STEVEN F. MCWHORTER, individually and as chairman and CEO of Securities America, Inc.; WALTER THOMAS CROSS, individually and as senior vice president, and director of product distribution of Securities America, Inc.; KEVIN JOSEPH MILLER individually and as vice president, chief compliance officer, and deputy counsel of Securities America, Inc.; DEBRA A. HANSEN, individually and as first vice president of marketing of Securities America, Inc.; LAMAR STUART JONES, JR., individually and as vice president of risk management of Securities America, Inc.; PAMELA JANINE WERTHEIM, individually and

as vice president and chief marketing officer of Securities America, Inc.; GREG SAUTTER individually; BARBARA SLOBOJAN, individually; and ERNEST HATHAWAY, individually, are entitled to a hearing to respond to this Notice of Proposed Agency Action and to present evidence and arguments on all issues involved in this case. You may have a formal hearing before a hearing examiner appointed by the Commissioner as provided in the Montana Administrative Procedure Act. Mont. Code Ann. § 2-4-601, *et seq.*

You have a right to be represented by an attorney at any and all stages of this proceeding. If you wish to contest the allegations herein, you must make a written request for a hearing within 15 days of receipt of this notice to Mike Winsor, Attorney for the Department of Securities, Office of the Commissioner of Securities & Insurance, Montana State Auditor, 840 Helena Avenue, Helena, MT 59601. The matter shall then be promptly set for hearing. While so advising Mr. Winsor, your written notice must clearly indicate whether you request a hearing, or whether you waive formal proceedings and, if so, what informal proceedings you prefer for handling this case. Pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 2-4-603(2), you may not request to proceed informally if the action could result in suspension, revocation, or any other adverse action against a professional license. If you request a hearing, you will be given notice of the date, time, and place of the hearing.

Should you request a hearing, you have the right to be accompanied, represented, and advised by an attorney. If the attorney you choose has not been admitted to the practice of law in the state of Montana, she or he must comply with the Montana State Bar requirements for appearing *pro hac vice* and Montana case law. *Application of American Smelting and Refining, Co.*, 164 Mont. 139, 520 P.2d 103 (1973) and *Mont.*

*Supreme Court Comm'n on the Unauthorized Practice of Law v. O'Neil*, 2006 MT 284, 334 Mont. 311, 147 P.3d 200 (2006).

**CONTACT WITH THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE**

If you have questions or wish to discuss this matter, please contact Mike Winsor, Attorney for the Department of Securities, Office of the Commissioner of Securities and Insurance, Montana State Auditor, 840 Helena Ave, Helena, MT, 59601, (406) 444-2040. If you are represented by an attorney, please make any contacts with this office through your attorney.

**POSSIBILITY OF DEFAULT**

Failure to give written notice of your demand for a formal hearing or for informal procedure within 15 days will result in the entry of a default order imposing any sanctions available under Montana law without any additional notice to you, pursuant to Mont. Admin. R. 6.6.101 and 1.3.214, and the Attorney General's Model Rule 10.

DATED this 4<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2010.

  
**MIKE WINSOR**  
Attorney for the Department of Securities

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that on this 5<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2010, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Notice of Proposed Agency Action and Opportunity for Hearing was served upon the following by certified mail, with postage prepaid and return receipt requested:

SECURITIES AMERICA, INC.  
7100 WEST CENTER ROAD  
SUITE 500  
OMAHA, NE 68106

DEBRA A. HANSEN  
7100 WEST CENTER ROAD  
SUITE 500  
OMAHA, NE 68106

JAMES D. NAGENGAST  
7100 WEST CENTER ROAD  
SUITE 500  
OMAHA, NE 68106

LAMAR STUART JONES, JR.  
7100 WEST CENTER ROAD  
SUITE 500  
OMAHA, NE 68106

STEVEN F. MCWHORTER  
7100 WEST CENTER ROAD  
SUITE 500  
OMAHA, NE 68106

PAMELA JANINE WERTHEIM  
7100 WEST CENTER ROAD  
SUITE 500  
OMAHA, NE 68106

WALTER THOMAS CROSS  
7100 WEST CENTER ROAD  
SUITE 500  
OMAHA, NE 68106

GREG SAUTTER  
2600 GARFIELD ST  
MISSOULA, MT 59801

KEVIN JOSEPH MILLER  
7100 WEST CENTER ROAD  
SUITE 500  
OMAHA, NE 68106

BARBARA SLOBOJAN  
6125 SKY POND DRIVE  
LOVELAND, CO 80538

ERNEST HATHAWAY  
6955 UNION PARK CENTER  
MIDVALE, UT 84047

By: *Auson Paulson-Davis*